

REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this Application as presently amended and in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-4 are pending in the present Application. Claims 1-4 have been amended to further clarify the features of the present invention. Support for the amendment of the claims can be found at least at Fig. 6 (S7). Since all the elements of the amended claims were either earlier claimed or inherent in the claims, as examined, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner enter the response on the record. The response will present Applicants' position in a better form for appeal. No new matter has been added.

By way of summary, the Official Action presents the following issues: Claims 1-4 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Dworkin (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0071,540) in view of Dailey et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,363,352, hereinafter Dailey).

REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Official Action has rejected Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being obvious in view of Dworkin (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0071,540). The Official Action states that Dworkin discloses all the Applicants' claim limitations with the exception of providing the contents of group chatting. However, the Official Action cites Dailey as describing this more detailed aspect of the Applicants' invention, and states that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the cited references for arriving at Applicants' claims. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejection.

Claim 1 recites, *inter alia*, an information processing server, including:

... a storing unit configured to store the whole or part of the contents of the group chatting performed in the group chat space in a shared file generated when the group chat space is generated; and

a supply unit configured automatically to supply the whole or a part of the contents of group chatting performed in the group chat space to the first terminal by sending the shared file after completion of the delivery of the first service to the second terminal. (emphasis added)

Dworkin describes an application service provider environment for providing a distributed conferencing configuration. As shown in Fig. 1 of this reference, the configuration (99) includes a plurality of users (100A-100F) employing the Internet (104). Conferencing resources (112) include both hardware and software components, which are hosted and managed by a conferencing application service provider (110).¹

In operation, the users (100) may employ the services of the conferencing configuration to facilitate distribution of data and video conferencing without the expense and overhead associated with owning and maintaining their own conference resources. For example, an individual user (100A) would register with the application service provider (ASP) and be provided with an application program interface (API) to receive the necessary software for support facilitating communication with the provider. Likewise, the user may use third-party instant messaging software to communicate with other users. In addition, the ASP may employ a messaging utility (122), such that upon registration, a user downloads an instant messaging plug-in for use with a user interface (100B). In this way, the user can initiate a conference by inviting other instant messaging participants registered with the ASP.²

¹ Dworkin at paragraph 14.

² Dworkin at paragraphs 16-17.

At page 3 of the Official Action, it is noted that Dworkin does not describe or suggest the provision of the whole or a part of the contents of group chatting performed in a group chat space. In this regard, the Official Action cites Dailey at column 5, lines 24-27.

Dailey describes a virtual meeting system in which a meeting host computer may schedule a virtual meeting. As noted at column 5, lines 24-27, if an electronic document is specified in a meeting request, the electronic document is opened on the meeting host computer and an associated electronic document editing application. For example, participants can collaboratively edit the electronic document during the virtual meeting.³

Conversely, in an exemplary embodiment of the Applicants' claimed advancements, a live distribution service for distributing streaming contents to users is provided in accordance with the reservation made in advance. In operation, a user, such as a personal computer (3), provides contents for distribution according to a reservation to a streaming server (5). Personal computers (4-1 - 4-3) receive the streaming contents from the streaming server according to the reservation made by the personal computer (3).⁴ During the delivery of the streaming content, a corresponding group chat space is created corresponding to the reservation of the streaming distribution. Upon generation of the group chat space, the whole or a part of the contents the group chatting performed in a chat space is stored.

Upon conclusion of the delivery of the streaming content, such as during a video conference, a supply unit automatically provides the contents of the group chatting to the first terminal (terminal making the initial reservation) by sending a shared file including the group chatting contents after completion of the delivery of the first service. In other words, after the video conference is completed, the discussion between participants is automatically delivered to the entity which scheduled the conference.

³ Dailey at column 5, lines 50-53.

⁴ Application at page 8.

Although the Official Action has cited Dailey as describing the provision of the group chatting contents after completion of the delivery of the first service to the second terminal. However, Dailey merely describes that a document may be corroboratory edited during a virtual meeting. Nowhere in Dailey is there a discussion of storing the contents of a group chat space in a shared file or providing the shared file to a first terminal upon completion of the delivery of the first service as cited in Applicants' amended Claim 1 or Claims 2-4 which recite substantially similar limitations to that discussed above.


Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the rejection of Claims 1-4 under 35 U.S.C. § 103 be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

Consequently, in view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the present Application, including Claims 1-4, is patently distinguished over the prior art, in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested at an early date.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.



Bradley D. Lytle
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

Scott A. McKeown
Registration No. 42,866

BDL:SAM:ys